The Times-Picayune

Founded in 1837

CEORGE WILKINS KENDALL
and FRANCIS A. LUMSDEN, Publishers, 1837-1864
ALVA MORRIS HOLBROOK, Publisher, 1864-1867
ELIZA HOLBROOK NICHOLSON, Publisher, 1867-1896
NICHOLSON ESTATE, Publisher, 1896-1914
ASHTON PHELPS, Publisher, 1914-1918
LEONARD K. NICHOLSON, Publisher, 1918-1952
JOHN F. TIMS, Publisher, 1952-1967
ASHTON PHELPS SR., Publisher, 1967-1979

ASHTON PHELPS JR., Publisher

JIM AMOSS, Editor PETER KOVACS, Managing Editor, News DAN SHEA, Managing Editor, News LYNN CUNNINGHAM, Assistant to the Editor

TERRI TRONCALE, Editorial Page Editor

RAY MASSETT, V.P., General Manager
DAVID FRANCIS, V.P., Business Manager
KELLY ROSE, V.P., Advertising
VERNON COBB, V.P., Auditing
PHILIP EHRHARDT, V.P., Circulation
RYAN LIPS, V.P., Creative Advertising
NYGEL GIBSON, V.P., Credit Manager
P. BETH ADAMS, V.P., Human Resources
VALERIE JASPER, V.P., Information Technology
RENÉE BIGELOW, V.P., Marketing Services
A.J. ROSENBOHM, V.P., Production Director
WAYNE BENJAMIN, V.P., Purchasing
PATRICK HINRICHS, V.P., Transportation

YOUR OPINIONS

Anti-science law threatens tech jobs of future

Re: "Religious instruction doesn't fit in science class," Your Opinions, April 30.

The 21st-century economy will require a new generation of scientists and engineers, and signs point to trouble ahead for New Orleans and Louisiana. Employers already are struggling to fill science and tech jobs, and recent test scores show that 53 percent of the state's eighth-graders — the workforce of tomorrow — lack basic competence in science.

It is therefore alarming that the Louisiana Senate has passed a bill that directly threatens science education.

Proponents offer deceptive arguments about encouraging students to think critically. But Louisiana's education standards already do that.

The real intent is to introduce

classroom materials that raise misleading objections to the well-documented science of evolution and offer a religious idea called intelligent design as a supposed alternative. That would unleash an assault against scientific integrity, leaving students confused about science and unprepared to excel in a modern workforce.

The intelligent design campaign has spent millions to invent a so-called scientific debate about evolution that does not exist in the scientific community. In fact, every major science and medical society in the world embraces evolution as the explanation for how life has developed on Earth.

Of course we all have a right to interpret the origins of life based on our faith. But there's no need to pit religion against science. The Catholic Church and thousands of U.S. religious leaders from many denominations say evolution and faith are compatible.

Can intelligent design be discussed in schools? Perhaps in humanities class. But courts repeatedly have ruled that creationism and intelligent design are religious arguments that can't be taught in science class.

Rather than provoke an expensive, divisive legal fight, we'd be better off doing everything we can to ensure the best possible science education for the next generation of problem-solvers.

Alan 1. Leshner
Chief Executive Officer
American Association
for the Advancement of Science
Washington